Rec'd PCT/PTO 28 JAN 2005



REC'D 2 1 OCT 2004

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 115516SIMS3TV			FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)					
			cation No.	International filing date (day/month)				
PCT)3/00:	264 	31.07.2003	31.07.2002			
	nationa 6T17/0		nt Classification (IPC) or be	oth national classification and IPC				
Appli SIM		3ER\	/ AS et al.					
1.	This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this international Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2.	This	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
•		beer	n amended and are the	nied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of basis for this report and/or sheets n 607 of the Administrative Instru	the description, claims and/or drawings which have s containing rectifications made before this Authority ctions under the PCT).			
	Thes	se anr	nexes consist of a total	of sheets.	•			
3.	This	repoi	t contains indications re	elating to the following items:				
	1	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion					
	11		Priority					
	111		Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to novelty, in	ventive step and industrial applicability			
	IV		Lack of unity of invent	ion				
	٧			nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; nations supporting such statement				
	Vì		☐ Certain documents cited					
	VII		Certain defects in the	international application				
	VIII		Certain observations	on the international application				
	e of sub	missio	on of the demand	Date of	completion of this report			
Date								
	02.20	04		20.10.	2004			
26.	ne and	mailin	g address of the internatio ining authority:		2004 zed Officer			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/NO 03/00264

1.	Basis	of the	report
----	-------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Desc	ription, Pages					
	1, 3-1	9	as originally filed				
	2, 2a		received on 23.08.2004 with letter of 19.08.2004				
		•					
	Clair	ns, Numbers	20 20 2004 with letter of 19 08 2004				
	1-26		received on 23.08.2004 with letter of 19.08.2004				
	Drov	vings, Sheets					
			as originally filed				
		-15/15					
2.	With lang	regard to the languag uage in which the inter	ge, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the rational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	The	se elements were avail	lable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a tran	slation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the lenguage of public	eation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a tran Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under				
3	. With	_	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the international application in written form.					
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequen	tly to this Authority in written form.				
		Control of authority to this Authority in computer readable form.					
		☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclose					
		The statement that the listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence				
	4. Th		esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/NO 03/00264

5. 🗆	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since the been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).	y have
	been considered to go beyond the dissipation at the time to the ti	

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

- 6. Additional observations, if necessary:
- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims
No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims
No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims
No: Claims

1-26

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- Reference is made to the following documents: 1
 - D1: Lévy B., Mallet J.-L., Cellular Modeling in Arbitrary Dimension using Generalized Maps, 05.01.2001, pp 1-11.
 - D2: Lang V., Lienhardt P., Simplicial Sets and Triangular Patches, Proceedings of Computer Graphics International, pp 154-163, 24.06.1996
- Technical Field: Irregular mesh description using multi-resolution G-maps. 2
- Closest Prior Art: Document D1, which is considered to represent the most 3 relevant state of the art, discloses G-maps (abstract, Figs. 2-6) used for description of the topology of manifold objects of arbitrary dimensions.
- Novelty: The subject-matter of independent claims differs from that disclosed in 4 document D1 in that based on the first mesh, coded as a G-map, a refined mesh is created, the coordinates of the refined mesh are used to compute control points and said control points are used to create surface patches associated with said first mesh. The refined mesh is also coded as a G-map.
- **Problem:** Generation of multi-resolution G-maps. 5
- 6 **Inventive Step:**
- In document D1 (abstract; page 1, right-hand column, line 8) multi-resolution editing is mentioned as a potential field of research but it is not further elaborated and would not lead the skilled person to a particular implementation of claim 1.
- 6.2 Document D2 discloses a combination of multi-resolution and half-edges. Halfedges are predecessors of G-maps, but they do not anticipate their structure. The extension of multi-resolution to G-maps is therefore not straightforward.
- 7 Clarity, Article 6 PCT

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Independent method claim 18 gives the detailed steps necessary to create a classical G-map (page 22, line 17 - page 23, line 10) and steps necessary to create a multi-resolution G-map (page 23, line 11 - line 18).

Independent method claim 18 should have been formulated as a dependent claim, referring to independent claim 1 (e.g.: A method according to claim 1, where step of creating said G-map representation comprises the steps of: creating a first data structure ...), because it includes all the features of claim 1 (Rule 6.4(a) PCT) and it only gives further details of some method steps of claim 1.

8 **General remarks**

- 8.1 Independent claims are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT. In addition, the applicant should have ensured that it is clear from the description which features of the subject-matter of claims are already known in combination from the document D1 (see the PCT Guidelines, III-2.3a).
- 8.2 The features of the claims should have been provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).